

E806
S3

*State
University
of Iowa
Libraries*

E806
S3




3 1858 048 791 507

MAIN LIB Roosevelt warning the serpent : /Sanctuary,
E. N.

E806 .S3/*c.1

Date Due

OCT 24 2003			
OCT 10 2003			
PRINTED IN U. S. A.		CAT. NO. 23233	

Roosevelt Warming The Serpent



*Facts You Should Know About
The League of Nations
And the Bolsheviks*



By
E. N. Sanctuary

In a recent editorial in the Herald-Tribune (N. Y.), entitled "Broken Promises," appeared a startling list of those broken by President Roosevelt. The letter reproduced below appears to be one promise that was NOT broken. It serves to explain why the groups named in the second paragraph, opposite page, failed to deter President Roosevelt from recognizing Bolshevia.

March 9th 1935

Mr E N Sanctuary
156 Fifth Avenue
N Y C

Dear Mr Sanctuary;

I have only just returned from Bermuda, hence the delay in replying to your letter of March 1st.


At the time of my visit to Russia, the Presidential Campaign was in full swing over here.

In many places in Russia I saw large cartoons of Mr Hoover entitled "The Fathead In The White House" and beside them, very flattering portraits of Mr Roosevelt entitled "The Next Communist President of the United States".

At that time the names of Bullitt and Tugwell were unknown to me, so that I was puzzled when young communist guides would ask me when Comrade Tugwell was going to have Russia recognised, and that Comrade Bullitt was going to be the first Ambassador.

Future events showed that the young communists of Russia knew more about American politics than I did !

Very sincerely yours,


Carveth Wells.

Carveth Wells, the author of "Kapoot," is a Fellow of the Royal Geographical Society and the American Geographical Society, and has addressed both societies, as well as the National Geographical Society in Washington. He was leader of the Massee Expedition to the Ruwenzori Range in Central Africa, out of which trip grew his book, "In Coldest Africa." He is a member of the Explorers' Club, Circumnavigators' Club, and the Adventurers' Clubs of New York Chicago.

Under the startling caption "Roosevelt Marks Soviet Birthday," the New York American, Nov. 8, 1934, carried the following article:

"Washington, Nov. 7 (US)—The first message ever sent by the United States congratulating Soviet Russia on the anniversary of the establishment of its government since United States recognition was dispatched by President Roosevelt today. The message read: 'Please accept on this anniversary of the establishment of the Soviet government the assurance of my best wishes for the welfare and prosperity of your country.'"

Inasmuch as millions of citizens of this country, through such organizations as the American Legion, the Federation of Labor and hundreds of patriotic societies, acted jointly in a mass meeting against the recognition of the Bolsheviks, we are confident that many amongst these groups will read with interest some of the evidence which vividly portrays the government so warmly congratulated by our President.

The New York Herald-Tribune, Mar. 27, 1934, carried an article from Geneva entitled: "League Urged to Bar Entry of 'Soviet Wolves.'" It also stated that the "Journal de Geneva" said that admission would be a death blow to Idealistic Body and stated that a fight against the admission of these "wolves" would be made by Holland, Denmark, Finland and Switzerland, to which might have been added Argentine.

Assuming that our President's congratulations might, in part be based on certain representations made to him by that peer amongst the mendacious—Litvinoff—whose record is partly disclosed in this writer's "ARE THESE THINGS SO?"—who is reported to have said in an address at Cincinnati, April 2, 1934, speaking of the attainments of his government: "We believe we already have full democracy," we shall present in this article reliable evidence to show how far removed is this statement from the truth.

The Burlington (Vt.) Free Press, Feb. 24, 1934, ran an editorial: "How Goes It in Russia?" and gave parts of an address given about that time in Boston after his return from Russia and said that the speaker, Whiting Williams, charged that the principal thing on the Russian worker's mind was "bread." In contrast to the favorable picture of Russia, and particularly the Russian workers, brought back by many American tourists who have visited the country in recent months, Mr. Williams pictured Russia

rampant with starvation, hardship and suffering of various kinds, and added that it was his belief that between 1932 and 1933, five million persons starved in Russia "and many millions more narrowly escaped the same fate."

Refuting the statement of Litvinoff above noted and made in Cincinnati, Mr. Williams further stated: "The workers do not rule Russia but the Communist Party does. This party has a membership of three millions, while the total population of Russia is about 164 millions." He added that it is hard to get into the Communist Party and the workers have but little chance * * * the present pay per day to an ordinary laborer is about the equivalent of the value of three eggs.

Measured in terms of human life this "noble experiment" in Russia, as characterized by the willing dupes who, even in positions of importance in Washington today, recommend the Russian form of government, we find the New York Times, in an editorial footnote to a letter which appeared in that paper Nov. 24, 1933, saying:

"In 1924 the British Trade Union delegation reported that between 1914 and the end of the famine in 1922 Russia lost 15,000,000 people of whom the revolution and civil war took 6,500,000 and the famine another 5,000,000. Since then there have been heavy losses connected with the 'liquidation' of the kulaks by exile and other ways, and with the food scarcity of last Winter, which is estimated to have taken several million lives. * * * When a revolutionary regime sets out to destroy an existing social order and build a new one in its place the losses of the civil war and famine resulting therefrom are properly chargeable to the 'experiment.'—Ed."

Moreover, supporting the charges and evidence just noted, we noted that the New York Herald-Tribune, August 15, 1934, carried a despatch from Berlin of the previous day, entitled: "'Cannibalism' in Russia Charged by Nazi Press." This article said that 1,500,000 persons "had starved to death near Kiev this year," while "shocking, half-starved adults and children are forcibly taken from the streets, herded like animals into trucks and driven thirty miles from the city to await their fate." Plenty of evidence from reliable sources is available to prove the accuracy of these reports.

World-Service, Oct. 1, 1934, in arguing against the admittance

of Soviet Russia to the League of Nations, said, under caption: "Those God Will Spoil, He Slays With Madness." "Thirty-nine nations voted for the admission, only 3 voted against, Switzerland, Holland and Portugal, whereas 7 abstained from voting. Are these 39 nations aware of the insanity of the act which they have committed? Are they aware that the admission of the Soviet Union to the League of Nations is nothing more than high treason to all that mankind holds most in reverence; treason to law, to justice, to honor, and to faith, to all that is good, to all that is noble, to all culture, ethics and morals, to art and to science, to marriage and to the family, to God and to religion, in short, to everything that is sublime and sacred to the human race?

"What, then, are the proofs? Who are the leaders of the Bolsheviks, who today are considered worthy to sit in council, and to act upon a League of Nations which is alleged to be one of the highest institutions of law and justice? What sort of a state is Russia? What system of Justice, what laws and what religion are prevalent there? * * * Quoting authoritative Bolsheviks only, such as can in fact be considered to bear a hundred per cent responsibility for the present state.

"In the first place, Lenin, alias Ulianov, the father of the Russian Revolution. His political theses constitute to this day the supreme law of every Bolshevik. The following utterances of Lenin's characterize better than anything else the 'political point of view' prevalent in Bolshevia today:

'In the holy war for the Social revolution, lies, impostures and treason to the bourgeoisie, to the capitalists and to their governments are throughout permissible.'

"Are those responsible to the League of Nations quite clear with regard to the meaning of the above statement?

"Further:

'Morals? Only that is moral which furthers the Revolution. All else is bourgeois nonsense.'

"Do you gentlemen of the League of Nations hear this smack in the face, as it resounds?"

Permit us here to quote from E. H. Peterson's UNDERLYING CAUSES OF OUR NATIONAL DEPRESSION, p. 108, where he presents evidence to show that Communism is Satantic, giving the basic principles of same as follows:

"Public Ownership, No Inheritance, No Vote, No Family Right of Existence, State Right to Children, Profaned Marriage, Compulsory Labor, Enforced Censorship, No Free Speech, Intolerance, No Patriotism, Perverted Morals, Debased (nationalized) Womanhood,¹ Abolishment of Ordered Government, and Enforced Atheism."

Continuing quotations from Lenin:

"We Bolsheviks are going to bring the Social Revolution as much to America as to Europe. It is coming systematically, step by step. The struggle will be long, cruel and sanguinary * * * What matters the loss of 90% by executions if 10% of Communists remain to carry on the Revolution? * * * Bolshevism is not a seminary for young ladies. All children should be present at the executions and rejoice at the death of the enemies of the proletariat."

World-Service comments on this as follows: "In case you gentlemen of the League of Nations should not notice it, the above applies to you and to the peoples whom you represent. Representatives of the League of Nations, the enemies of the Proletariat, are in the first place yourselves. * * * One might have thought that those utterances would have been sufficient to cause you, gentlemen, to withdraw to a safe distance from a land of this kind and from representatives of this description. Lenin died of softening of the brain, following upon syphilis.

"Who, then, is the ruler of Bolshevia today? It is Monsieur Stalin (with four aliases) the 'Red Czar of the Kremlin,' whose former business was the robbery of trains and the murder of human beings. Truly, a worthy head for a state. * * * At the latest conference of the Communist Party, Stalin uttered the following undisguised threat:

"The coming war will unquestionably let loose the Revolution and will generally threaten the existence of capi-

¹ The following is taken from the decree for socialization of women in Saratow: "All private ownership of women from ages of 17 to 42 is abolished * * * they are to become the property of the nation who are to be entrusted to the Anarchist Club of Saratow * * * and each man having access to these women must belong to the proletariat. All socialized women will receive a monthly salary of 28 roubles out of the 'Sexual Fund.' Pregnant women are relieved from their PUBLIC duties for a duration of four months, three months prior to confinement and one month afterwards. Those who attempt opposition and refuse to submit will be declared 'Enemies of the Nation.' Former husbands, who are not satisfied with their ex-wives, are at liberty to discard them without further formality." It is to be noted that these are the measures proposed by the Communists of Saratow.

talism in a number of states. The bourgeois need not blame us if, after the war, some of the governments with whom they are so closely associated, have vanished from the scene.'

"In the above connection all that can be said with reference to the League of Nations is that it is only the stupidest calves who select their own slaughterers.

"Following upon a murderous raid by Chinese Communist bandits, Stalin expressed his own and Bolshevia's recognition of these murderers by sending a special radiogram in which he praised both these beasts in human form and encouraged them to further exploits by the following message:

'We Communists do not recognize any ethics which can set a limit to "the freedom of action of a revolutionary corporation."'

"This means no more and no less than that the Bolshevik State honors bestial murderers as heroes and urges them on to the cruel massacre of innocent human beings. The League of Nations, however, The 'Holy Alliance of the civilized nations,' finds that a State of this description may worthily be received into its midst upon a basis of equal rights. What trace then remains of its much-vaunted moral and ethical principles—what trace of the most elementary logic? Is it not aware that the leader of the French Communists, Cachin, after the sanguinary fights on the barricades in Paris this year, was lauded in the Soviet Press as 'The pioneer of the French Soviet Revolution?'

"It was none other than Stalin who said:

'By May 1st, 1937, there should not be one single church left within the borders of Soviet Russia, and the idea of God will have been banished from the Soviet Union as a remnant of the Middle Ages, which has been used for the purpose of oppressing the working classes.'

"How does the League of Nations imagine, that with a Godless ally of this description, it is going to undertake the protection of religion in different countries?

"One of the principal actors in this latest performance of the League was Bolshevia's Commissary for Foreign Affairs, the Jew Litvinoff—Finklestein. On the 19th of January, 1908, the *Journal de Paris* published a sensational report on its front page. The

Paris police had arrested a 'Russian' bandit, by name of Wallach-Meer and his accomplice, Fanny Yapalska. Wallach, in company with a band of criminals, had robbed the bank of Tiflis. In the attack upon the bank no less than 32 employees had been massacred. His real name was Finklestein. Today he is called His Excellency Monsieur Litvinoff. The guardians of the law who previously had their hand on his collar now have to stand at attention, and salute him. In what light do the League of Nations look upon cooperation with an individual of this description? Has this body lost all self-respect?

"One of Litvinoff's helpers was the Jew Sobelsohn, alias Radok, from Charkow. He is the founder of the anti-God schools in Russia. The founders and leaders of the 'active anti-God Association' being the Jews Jaroslavsky-Hubelmann, Schoinmann and Lukatschewsky. Their activity consists in forcibly closing the churches, in driving out, slaughtering and banishing the priests and in tearing religion out of the hearts of the people.

"In an ordinance issued by the recently deceased Bolshevik Commissary for Education, Lunatscharsky, the following occurs:

'We hate Christians. The best among them must be looked upon as our "very worst enemies." They preach love and mercy to one's neighbor. What we intend having is hatred. We must learn how to hate, for only at this price can we conquer the world. We have made an end of the kings of the world, let us now make an end of the kings of the clouds. All religions are poison. They put the mind to sleep and destroy it, they kill both will-power and conscience. War to the knife must be declared against all religions. Our task is the destruction of all religion and all morality.'

"We should like to ask what sort of an attitude does the League of Nations propose taking to a point of view of this description? What has Christendom as a whole to say about this latest ally of the League? What have the different Churches to say? What the Vatican? What the Protestant Church? And what the otherwise so militant Archbishop of Canterbury?

"Lunatscharsky, moreover, drove the Russian savants out of the universities, establishing Jewish semi-intellectuals in their place and extirpating everything that was Russian, root and branch. Today, in the Soviet State every form of science is destroyed; for every objective recognition, every scientific deduction coming into

conflict with the teaching of Communism, is forbidden. Woe betide the savant who dares to put up a fight for the truth. Either death or banishment will be a certainty. What happens here differs in nothing from what once took place in ancient Egypt when a Pharaoh caused all messengers bringing evil tidings to be slain. Where then are the protests of the civilized world against the reception of the Bolshevik barbarians into the League? What justification indeed can the League find for its action in the face of the civilized world?

"In 1930, the Soviet Commissary for War Voroshiloff said:

"The Five-Years-Plan forms part only of our plan of military preparation. The erection of "corn factories," following upon compulsory collectivism of the land, and compulsory service for land workers, are both of them measures to ensure the adequate provisioning of our Red Army in its campaign against Europe. It serves the further purpose of hindering the formation of discontented individualist peasant class behind the lines in the coming war, which is by no means far distant."

"What does all this mean? The League of Nations is said to stand for peaceful cooperation between the different peoples. At the Congress of the Third International in 1931, Voroshiloff was plainer still. He said:

"We should never cease to increase our preparedness for war so that we may be in the position immediately to intervene in Europe on behalf of our fellow-communists at any given moment and on any given spot where the Revolution may break out."

"We should like to ask: What attitude does the League of Nations take to these brutal threats of World-revolution, coming from Voroshiloff who today still is Commissary for War in Bolshevikia? Is the League of Nations not aware that the Soviet Union has long since intervened 'in the European situation' by its attempts at corrupting and stirring up sedition in the armies of those very states who have voted for the admission of Bolshevikia to the League of Nations?

"The fanatical zeal with which Bolshevism is undermining Europe is best illustrated by the frequent smoking-out of communist nests everywhere. Thus in Prague, in Czecho-Slovakia, a large Jew-Bolshevik espionage system has been discovered. Its principal organizer had qualified in regular fashion at the Lenin-

Academy. On the Swiss-Italian frontier the Fascist authorities have similarly discovered a communist organization whose leaders were Jews. In Pinosow (Poland) 30 Jews have been arrested and put into prison for treasonable communist activity. In Czernowitz (Rumania) the largest and best organized Communist organization in Bukovina has been put out of action. Of the 30 arrested leaders 28 were Jews. Similarly in Budapest (Hungary) a nest of Communists has been smoked out. All the 17 arrested Communists were Jews. Moreover, all these occurrences have taken place within a period of five weeks.

"We reproduce the following account in shortened form from the 'Deutsche Wochenschau' of Sept. 22, 1934:

'Major General Sir Alfred Knox, a conservative Member of Parliament, has publicly asked the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, whether he is aware that at the present moment a seditious propaganda of unprecedented dimensions is being carried on among the members of His Majesty's Forces? The propaganda material which is constantly circulating in the army emanates demonstrably from Moscow. Sir Alfred demanded that the Foreign Office should initiate such steps with the Soviet Government as the situation required.'

"The Chief of the Swedish General Staff, Nygren, makes a similar report with regard to Communist propaganda in the Swedish Army. The agitation has affected all the arms of the service and has assumed such proportions as to constitute a serious danger to the existence of the army. * * * It is within the last few days that a court-martial took place in Philippopol, Bulgaria, upon 150 members of the military forces, all of whom had taken part in communist conspiracies. The accused and their associates intended to raise an insurrection on the first of August of the present year (1934), to shoot their officers and to seize power. The list could be extended *ad libitum*, for no army is exempt from Bolshevik corruption.

"Are the League of Nations really completely aware of this? Are they also unaware of the oath which is taken by members of the Red Army in Russia? This oath contains the following clause:

'I swear that I will keep myself and the other members of the Red Army from all actions that either do dishonor to, or lower the dignity of a member of the U. S. S. R.

and that I will direct all my actions and thought to the one great objective of liberating all the workers * * * .’

“It is not Voroshiloff alone who makes bloodthirsty threats of this description. Lorowsky, the Secretary of the Third International, said on the 10th of January, 1931:

‘The Five-Years-Plan is no domestic political plan of the Soviet Republic, but a plan affecting the proletariat of the whole world. It is necessary to popularize this plan abroad for its fulfillment will give the death blow to world imperialism.’

“Has not the League of Nations to all intents and purposes signed its own death warrant by the admission of Bolshevia? What type of justice is it that prevails in that state? What sort of law? In May, 1934 Krylenko, the Principal Public Prosecutor to the Soviet Union made the following declaration:

‘The class-war is not only to continue but must be carried with considerably increased intensity. The classes inimical to the workers are, it is true, beaten, but the individuals continue to exist. They have not all of them been shot as yet, not all of them been caught, not all of them physically annihilated. The carrying out of this task lies before us.’²

“The above means no more and no less than incitement by the principal judicial functionary of the Soviet Union to further mass-murder of innocent and defenceless human beings. This, then, is the sort of thing which is countenanced by the League of Nations. The deceased Djerjinsky, principal leader of the Tscheka and Co-Founder of what is now the League of Nations State Bolshevia, expressed the nature of the law in force in that state with classical brevity, as follows:

‘No heroic gestures. No publicity. Our enemies have to vanish without leaving a trace. Let us throw them to the animals.’

² “Recent events in Spain permit us, however, to clearly realize what is in store for us if TREACHERY AND APATHY united deliver us defenceless into the claws of the Goddess. There is abundant evidence of the fact that the Spanish insurrection was organized and financed by Moscow. The atrocities perpetrated at Oviedo were of a particularly devilish nature. In a shop window three bleeding heads were exposed, formerly belonging to a policeman, a priest and a nun. In another shop window the disembowelled corpse of a priest was exhibited with the label: ‘Pork for Sale.’ ”

"Has the League, then, nothing to say to this? Are we to look in vain for the flaming protests of the jurists of the world? For those of the Church, which in the preservation of innocent human life sees one of its highest duties? Is everything suddenly paralyzed? Is the madness of this act on the part of the League of Nations apparent to nobody?

"Are we to be compelled to describe in detail the raging of the bestialized Tscheka? We have sufficient material in hand to fill volumes. We will give a few examples only. After taking cocaine, the Jewish female Tschekist Rosa Schwarz from Kiev had the prisoners brought before her completely naked in their cells. She then proceeded to kill them with a revolver or to burn their eyes out with a lighted cigarette. The Tschekist Grisaka had 18 monks impaled in such a fashion that the pointed pales driven into the anus, came out through their shoulders. Immediately afterwards he drove in a motor-car through the streets * * * with a prostitute at his side. * * * The Jewish Tschekist Leader Deutsche, in Odessa, organized the rape of women. He was decorated for his 'services' with the Order of the Red Flag. Bestialized and brutal Chinese and Niggers were his accomplices in these orgies of hell. Must not the League of Nations hide its head before monstrosities of this kind? Should not all leaders of the Church, priests, ministers of religion, should not the whole world rise unanimously against a government which not only tolerates atrocious procedure of this description, but actually organizes it—against a government which has established mass-terror and mass-murder as the most sacred duty and leading principle of its political program? Since when are notorious criminals shamelessly received into society? Is, then, this the same League of Nations which is stated to be built upon the 'exalted ethical ideal of peaceful understanding between the peoples for the weal and benefit of mankind'? Has not this same League of Nations committed moral suicide, if by the fact only, that it has accepted the Jewish Bolshevik hangmen of Moscow? * * * Are we to take it that all this is now tolerated, in fact, directly sanctioned by the League of Nations? Is this, then, the same League of Nations which lays claim to being the one international refuge for oppressed nationalities and races? Is this the same League which has issued laws for the protection of national minorities and which was im-

mediately on the spot, organizing measures of assistance when 50,000 Jews emigrated from Germany? Has not this same League inscribed upon its banners, religion, civilization and humanity? In what light, then, are these professions to be regarded?

"In the League of Nations state Bolshevia, the Jews Jagoda, Agranov, Messer, Bela Kun and company carry on as heretofore the murder commission, known until recently as the G. P. U., formerly called the Tscheka but which, under the present disguise of the 'People's Commissariat for Domestic Affairs' continues unabated its sanguinary reign of terror against the Russian people. Have those responsible to the League of Nations looked even once at the criminal physiognomy of these holders of high office? If not, we can at any time place the relevant photographs at their disposal.

"Similarly the sentence of death should not be forgotten which an insane system of administration carried out upon 25 million Russians by the communist 'socialization' of industry, agriculture, transport, provisions and medicines, during the catastrophic famine in Soviet Russia. The Jew Epstein-Jakowlov alone, had ten thousand farmers leading peasants (koulaks) shot, over six millions hunted from house to house, and about four millions banished to Siberia where they were condemned to hard labor for life. It has been found to be more practicable and more workable in Soviet Russia not merely to exterminate people with a revolver, but to send them in masses to hard labor in Siberia where they are tortured and generally exploited until they die a miserable death. This is what is called 'the dry guillotine.'

"Is the League of Nations clear with regard to the fact that it has not only legalized these inhuman murders, but that from now on it bears a common responsibility for them? Does the League realize that in declaring for Bolshevia's claim to equal rights, it has become a partner in Bolshevia's blood-guilt? * * * In the Soviet Union the agricultural collectivist centres developed in a sea of blood. These, under Jewish administration, mean for the peasant, complete enslavement of body, soul and spirit. Today (1934), a further 20 million Russians are threatened by the catastrophe of famine, many of them living in a district which formerly was one of the World's richest granaries.

"This, then, is the state of affairs in the new League of Nations state of Bolshevia. This, then, is the law and the justice which prevails in Soviet Russia. One would have thought that the League of Nations, had it desired to carry out its exalted duty to humanity, would have proclaimed a holy war against a state in which mass-murder is publicly organized and legalized, and where sanguinary terror, the plundering of the population, a complete absence of law and justice, unspeakable child misery, the closing of churches and the annihilation of Christianity are the order of the day. One would have thought that such a state would be handled by the League of Nations as a pariah and completely boycotted. But nothing of the kind occurs. The most profound silence—the economically and financially broken down robber state is given credit upon credit so as to enable it to hold its head above water. At the 17th Congress Party, Stalin was able, with cynical openness, to declare: 'We live upon our credit account with the bourgeois-capitalist states.' Said the Grossdeutscher Pressedienst, Mar. 27, 1934: 'The Soviet Union insolently made recognition by all the countries forming part of the League of Nations, a condition to its entry into the League.'

"The most powerful influence of all in determining this admittance was the action of the Jewish World-Conference which took place at Geneva, August 20 to 23, 1934, at which time 'the Conference expressed its unequivocal approval of the admission of Soviet Russia to the League of Nations, which meant not only the facilitation of certain negotiations, but that they had by this event been materially advanced and had acquired, moreover, a definite legal status. The admission of the Soviets likewise made it possible for credits to be granted to the Soviet Government which credits were to be subject to control by the creditors. It was necessary to support Soviet Russia morally and to throw the whole material and moral influence of Jewry into the scales for the benefit of the Soviets and to do this in all countries * * * .'"

An amazing feature of this situation is that this pro-Jewish anti-Christian propaganda is indirectly aided by so-called Christian Jewish groups. As illustrating this we have before us an advertisement of one of these latter groups which states:

"The borderlands of Germany teem with thousands of

Jewish refugees who have stumbled their way through the bloody attacks of Nazi hate³ * * * .”

This writer is not sympathetic with undeserved persecution of any kind, anywhere, but the reader is not told, in connection with the citation just recorded, that in the growing struggle in Germany the number of the injured Nazis, who received their injuries at the hands of Communist Jews acting under orders from Moscow, in spite of treaty relations between the two countries, numbered nearly ten thousand in 1932. None other than an appreciative citizenship rallied to the support of Herr Hitler when he, being advised that the revolutionary uprising was to start, was able to organize against it to the utter discomfiture of these plotting Communists.

Because of the intrigue and plotting power of that race which controls the insidious program of the Third International the Christian bodies of the world take no action of the horrible atrocities which have been perpetrated upon the suffering people of Russia. Where one Jew has suffered the loss of his life in Germany there have been about 100,000 cruelly and deliberately exterminated in the land of the Bolshevik. Their blood actually cries to high heaven and, under any ordinary circumstances, would find a response in the hearts of the nations of this world. The United States over thirty years ago, heard the cry of outraged Cubans and came to their relief, yet only a fraction lost their lives on account of the cruelties imposed under the hand of Spain. Where has any Christian-Hebrew group recorded itself as opposing the bloody hand of their countrymen in Russia? Is it to be said that it is no matter of theirs or that it raises no outcry from other sources simply because those being exterminated in Russia are of Greek Catholic extraction?⁴

³ Concerning the character of those “who have stumbled their way” out of Germany we take the following from the Burlington Free Press for Nov. 26, 1934: “Toulouse, France, Nov. 25: Twenty German nudists, who were found dancing near a military airport were expelled from France today on suspicion of espionage. * * * All protested they were law students on their way to Palestine. They were clothed and escorted to the border.”

⁴ It is suggested to the reader at this point that Rabbi Wise be paged and asked to explain what his son, James Waterman Wise, meant when, in an address before 3,000 persons at the Free Synagogue in Carnegie Hall, Oct. 28, 1934, New York City, that what the United States may need is a good dose of Russia, and added: “The direction, plan and purposefulness of Russia has enabled that nation ‘to achieve something no other nation has,’ to which we can well add, on the evidence, ‘Yes, hell on earth.’”

“And now,” continuing our quotations from World-Service, “this latest and most monstrous of occurrences—Soviet Russia has been ceded equality of right, has been admitted to the League of Nations and has been accorded a permanent seat upon the Council, it will be asked: has this unparalleled piece of insanity any aim or object? Here, then, is the reply: The organizers of the red blood orgies in Moscow know perfectly well what they are doing. They are working systematically not only at the annihilation of everything that is human in any shape or form. Their object is the creation of a new order of society, an order actually in operation in the recently established town of Magnitogorsk (Magnet-Town). It stands under the protection of the Minister of Instruction. To it, men and women are admitted who undertake to live according to complete communist collectivist principles. It has about 50,000 inhabitants. There are no family houses, but only communal dwellings, that is to say, common doss houses, common sitting rooms and common kitchens. Religion is completely banished; there, the family no longer exists, the words ‘father,’ ‘mother,’ ‘son,’ ‘daughter,’ ‘brother,’ ‘sister,’ are forbidden; incest, on the other hand, is permitted. The children, until their 16th year, are trained up like young animals to be thorough-paced Godless Bolsheviks, in the Communist training establishments.

“Here, then, we have a picture of Soviet society as ordered in the state of Bolshevia. This means, eventually, the total and mass-enslavement and bestialization of the free and civilized European. It means the breeding of a mass-animal, which, without will of its own, resigns itself to Jewish world domination, and finds itself forced into this dastardly service.

“And it is to this that the League of Nations, which proclaims itself to be a sort of super-court for humanity, extends a welcome hand. A state of this description, preying upon humanity, is recognized by it as possessing equal rights and found worthy to sit in common judgment upon the destiny of other nations. Yes, this same League of Nations actually tolerates that, in its sacred forum. Litvinoff-Finklestein should, with cynical openness, declare:

‘Neither in the future, will Soviet Russia renounce any of its peculiarities.’⁵

“It is though the Devil had suddenly been released from hell. It as though he were getting ready to enter upon his dominion over the World. It as though darkness were to gain the victory over light. Do the peoples of the world not realize the crime which their governments have committed against the whole of humanity by the recognition of Soviet Russia? Do they now know what sort of a snake it is that they are now compelled to nourish in their bosoms? Those who govern the peoples of the world are digging their own graves. The Jewish grave-digger is working for the downfall of human civilization. The red executioner stands ready to cast Moscow’s flaming brand into the world. It is as though a new flood, a world catastrophe of gigantic proportions were overtaking us.

“We men and women of the ‘World-Service’ look upon it as our most sacred duty, in the name of the national and patriotic opinion that stands behind us in the whole world, in the name of the peoples who are awakening to racist reality, to raise the very sharpest protest against the admission of the Soviet Union to the League of Nations. We protest in the name of true civilization, of real humanity and in behalf of the real liberties of the peoples. Pan-Aryans of all countries—close your ranks and stand firmer than ever, until the last decisive action and, should God so will, decisive victory.” (Speech delivered by Swiss delegate at the Anti-Jewish World Congress for Peace, Liberty and Work.)

From the Pravda, Moscow, May 4, 1933. A Mighty Demonstration of Revolutionary Unity.

“Throughout the world United Front May Day demonstrations met with great success. A great stride was made in the fighting unity of the proletarians, who, with united forces under the direction of the Communist International, will COMPLETELY DESTROY FACISM. 1,510,000 per-

⁵ In 1920, the Division of Russian Affairs, State Dept. (U. S.), said: “The interrelation of the Bolsheviks, the Russian Soviets, and the Third International is such, in fact, that while the three may be distinguished theoretically, in practice they represent a single movement, backed by the administrative machinery and the resources of Soviet Russia. This is important, especially from an international viewpoint, because the aim of the Communist or Bolshevik Party is world-wide revolution and the purpose of the Third International is to propagate revolutions and communism throughout the world.” In spite of the assurances of Litvinoff to President Roosevelt, the policies of the Bolsheviks, as related to the above and our Government, has not changed one iota.

sons took part in the demonstration in Moscow, a million, three hundred thousand in Leningrad, tens of millions of proletarians, collective farmers and toilers of the Land of Soviets, strongly welded together around the Leninist Party and its Chief, Comrade Stalin, demonstrated on May Day their self-sacrificing devotion to Lenin's Party and their preparedness for the defense of the Soviet Union from interventionists, and **FOR THE FIGHT FOR THE FINAL VICTORY OF SOCIALISM THROUGHOUT THE WORLD.**"

From Bezbojnik, The Atheist, Moscow. No. 4, April, 1933, Harmful Vestiges of the Past.

" * * * Neither shootings to death nor tortures, the actions of provocateurs and the Fascist pogroms, the vile actions of the Social Facists, not the pious hymns of God's servants—nothing will save rotting capitalism, nothing will stop the ever rising revolutionary tide of the toilers of all countries, all races and all nations."

"May 1—the celebration of the revolutionary struggle—will become in the not distant future a celebration of the victory of the toilers throughout the entire world

* * * The proletarians and the toilers of the U. S. S. R. are building socialism successfully. In their country they are burying for all time want, privations, poverty and sorrow, to which capitalism gives birth. There is no place in our country for religion—the companion of pauperism and slavery."

Izvestia, Moscow, May 4, 1933, stated:

"On the other platform was the Revolutionary War Council of the U. S. S. R. and among the representatives of the Diplomatic Corps are comrades Litvinov, Krestinsky and Karkhan," who were reviewing that great May Day Demonstration in the Red Square at Moscow.

Citizens who have followed the events which led to recognition of the Bolsheviks will recall that the press reported the cause for delay in effecting this involved the obtaining of certain promises from Mr. Litvinoff with respect to our nationals in Russia. All of this is set out in our book, "ARE THESE THINGS SO?" as well as the press reports from Rome, Dec. 6, 1933, when Litvinoff repudiated these agreements.

Communists take their orders from Moscow and in order to understand the treachery of this Godless gang of cutthroats in Russia, as it relates to the citizens of this great country, and which

emphasizes the amazing congratulations of its President as above stated, we have before us a Communist booklet. published since recognition, from which we take the following:

"We Communists say that the Black Belt, which by right belongs to the Negroes, must be organized as a distinct political unit * * * in this the Negro majority must have full governmental control. * * * Can a revolution be won? * * * If under conditions of a severe capitalist crisis the working class is ready to wage a determined armed fight for the overthrow of the capitalist system, then the revolution may succeed. * * *

"This Soviet government has the great task of taking away from the owners the plants, factories, railroads, banks and turning them into public property to be administered by the workers for the common benefit of all. In other words, it is the task of the Soviets to **abolish private property in the means of production** and to establish **Socialist production and distribution**.

"This cannot be accomplished peacefully. The exploiters won't give up their loot even after their State power is crushed. They will have to be routed. The Soviet government will have to **expropriate the expropriators by force**. The latter will conspire and plot against the new system; they will organize counter-revolutionary uprisings. The Soviet State will have to crush these with an iron hand. The former exploiters will be given no quarter. The old system of robbery with all its rubbish will have to be cleared away. This means that the Soviet State must be ruthless; it must destroy the counter-revolutionary forces—the quicker the better for the workers and for the future of mankind. * * * "

As proof that the citizens of the United States face a definite race problem we recommend to our readers a pamphlet published by ICOR Library, N. Y. City, entitled: "Why the Jewish Masses Must Rally to the Defense of the Soviet Union."

In connection with this we take the following from the New York Times for Oct. 8, 1934, reporting part of an address of former Ambassador Gerard, said:

"As a friend of the Jewish race, I want to state that if ever the American nation gets the idea that the Jewish race and Communism are synonymous there is the possibility of a pogrom in the United States that will make those of the Czar era look like a small parade. He said he based his remarks in part on his personal observations of a Communist gathering at Union Square, New York."

For years the League for Industrial Democracy have been sowing their insidious and socialistic doctrines amongst the educators of our country. It is this group of liberals, pinks and reds, who have been educating for a new social order: "Production for Use and Not for Profit." Not by accident, but by a well-designed plan, are these men found in important key positions in Washington today.

Some of them, like Stuart Chase, a former associate of Berkman, the anarchist with Tugwell and Robert Dunn, constituted a committee which went to Russia in 1932 and on their return Chase wrote a pamphlet speaking in glowing terms of the Russian form of government and saying: "Why should Russia have all the fun of remaking the world?"

Not long ago one authority stated that we were then, as a country, about 40 per cent socialized. Chaos, confusion and charts of new experiments constitute a trinity of objectives to attain their plan. Some of the means used are readily seen in brief articles before us which tell of millions of pounds of molasses being brought into our western states, in foreign bottoms (Herald-Tribune Editorial) to feed the starving cattle; that negotiations are under way to import twenty million bushels of wheat from Canada for the same purpose; that 104,000 cotton farmers in Texas alone were idle in 1934; that surpluses, according to report of the AAA, released Dec. 3, 1934, showed the removal of commodities up to Nov. 1, were: hogs, \$46,039,849, of which many cases can be cited to show the vast sums paid for hogs NOT raised; wheat, \$5,871,855; sheep and goats purchased in drought areas, \$2,293,987, and in sections of West Texas farmers sold these for \$1.50 and \$2.00, respectively, along with cattle, all of which were shot and left to rot in the fields; seed purchases, \$11,826,603; butter and cheese, \$12,329,929.^{5A} * * * As of Nov. 28th cotton farmers had received \$241,738,071. In other words, our fair south-

^{5A} In the light of these facts, we have learned since our first edition (see N. Y. Times of Feb. 18, 1935) that Argentina had tripled her exports to the United States in January, 1935, over those of January, 1934, the items being \$7,000,000 against \$2,300,000. Here are some of the items: bran, corn, corned beef, horsehides, edible tallow, flaxseed, inedible tallow, oats, wheat and butter.

Here is a concrete example of the mastermind of a Wallace marching his regimented vassals up Bolshevia's hill and marching them down again, all, however, at the taxpayers' expense. Why be concerned about such a trifling waste inasmuch as there is another five billion in the offing?

land, which owed its prosperity in the past to the production of cotton, is now being paid from money borrowed to curtail its crop so that foreign lands can raise their proportionate quota. Aside from the production of cotton in Russia in 1934, the New York Times for Dec. 2, 1934, tells us that India, China, South American Republics and East and West Africa, produced this year 10,500,000 bales and yet in the face of this the New York Times for Dec. 5, 1934, quotes the President as saying the day before: "He predicted that the Bankhead Act control features for another four years *probably* would do more for the South than any other single thing in the last generation."

What this "DO MORE" really means is that the South will gradually be put out of the cotton business as other countries, freed from the guidance of any Brain Trust or New Dealers, increase their production. That this is no idle statement is confirmed by the report in the New York Times, for Dec. 2, 1934, showing that Brazil was securing financial help here to finance cotton crops in that country being "forced into move by sharp drop in demand for American growths, traders say."⁶

The threat of the Moscow plan of regimentation and control as seen in the TVA has already caused the holders of Public Utilities a market loss of about twelve billions of dollars. Extended in other directions it can be seen that the day is not far distant when "there will be no rich people left in this country" unless it be a select group, like the Communists of Russia, who will gain control of this fair land and create a horde of slaves divested of all the ideals inherited from our forefathers. What they would thrust upon us has been stated earlier in this pamphlet and as taken from Mr. Peterson's book.

Since these governmental experiments are not the product of American thought but definitely related to the program of Bolshevism is it not a fair conclusion to say that the ideas of the triumvirate which advocated the Russian system of government may be the ones which will eventually be attained?

⁶ At this point it is deemed most timely to quote from Mr. Haley's article, "Cow Business and Monkey Business," which appeared in the Saturday Evening Post, Dec. 8, 1934: "We should view with alarm our National Government's attempt to socialize agriculture * * * American agriculture is certainly in no condition today to be converted into a national laboratory for experiments by the Brain Trust, some of whose proposals closely resemble those already proved to be a failure in Russia."

Frankly, then, for whom, may we inquire, was the President speaking when he sent his congratulations in the cable message heretofore referred to? Members of our Churches, our patriotic societies, The American Legion, the Federation of Labor and the conservative thought of this great country, will you sit idly by, without protest, and say it was YOUR congratulations which were sent for "the welfare and prosperity" of a land which villifies God, Christianity, home, morals, family, womanhood and records itself as being engaged in an active campaign to put the Red Flag on our White House? If there is yet remaining a spark of that freedom which inspired our sires you should record yourself in some definite way in opposition to a system that was born in hell and now rules as an unmitigated tyrant over millions of helpless slaves. The least that Americans can do, and will if they remain true to their ideals, is to rise up in their might and stop this merciless slaughter of men, women and children whose only offense in the eyes of the Bolshevik is that they are Christians. Only in such a way can the encroachment upon our once cherished liberties be stopped. The first step in the right direction is to return to that three-fold form of government which has solved our problems in the past. Potential dictators, or even experimental dictators, using the specious argument of expediency, are alike an offense to any red-blooded American. Give our sacred Constitution a chance.

From our hearts as well as our lips, in this day of a national emergency let us all unite once more in the melody of a national prayer-song and say:

*"Our Father's God to Thee,
Author of liberty,
To Thee we sing;
Long may our land be bright,
With freedom's holy light,
Protect us by Thy might,
Great God, our King."*

New York, Dec. 5, 1934

E. N. SANCTUARY.

ARE THESE THINGS SO?

By

E. N. SANCTUARY, 156 Fifth Ave., N. Y. City

Said the Presbyterian editorially, May 17, 1934: "Colonel Sanctuary has recently brought together a quantity of startling material in his volume, entitled 'ARE THESE THINGS SO?' The book investigates subversive propaganda. The chapter about Mr. Litvinoff, who arranged the recognition of the U. S. S. R. is worth the price of the book."

Among the many testimonial letters received by the author commending his latest book is one from Dr. Arthur I. Brown, celebrated surgeon, lecturer and member of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh: "Being familiar with your **TAINTED CONTACTS**, I have been reading this last book closely and find it the most stirring presentation of this important subject that I have read. Your position is absolutely unassailable. I have been saying some of these things in my lectures but your proof is massed with such deadly accuracy and skill that the answer to the question of the title can only be an emphatic 'YES.' You will meet with great opposition, naturally, and your course demands real courage."

Copyright by E. N. Sanctuary, 156 Fifth Avenue, New York City.
Price 10 cents a copy. Special price to dealers.

